

**MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON  
22 JUNE 2021**

**Present:** Councillors Raynes, Strange, Hopkinson, Lane, Neville, Smith & Toplis  
4 Members of the Public, DDDC Cllr Hughes

**21/2022 APOLOGIES**  
Cllrs Gray & Taylor

**22/2022 VARIATION OF ORDER OF BUSINESS & DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

No Councillor Interests were declared.

**23/2022 REQUEST FOR DISPENSATION FROM MEMBERS**  
There were no requests for dispensation.

**24/2022 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION – MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, POLICE, COUNTY OR DISTRICT COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVE**

There was a meeting with the police on 15 June, and they are returning to the village in July. Parking at various locations was discussed ie. Church Street opposite Old Coach Road, the junction of Church Street with A615, Whitelea Lane (there has been no access into Green Lane at times), the Police were aware of the parking issues and were to contact DCC. Cllr Burfoot has been approached regarding residents box for some residents (Rest Cottage). Cllr Hughes informed the meeting that Matlock has the same problems. Speeding was also discussed, and they are aware of this also particularly 21.30/22.00 hrs. Tractor & Trailer travelling down Church Street, driver on the phone, this has been reported.

The '20 is plenty' initiative was also queried with the police.

**25/2022 MINUTES OF FULL COUNCIL**

The Minutes of full council held on 25 May were received. Cllr Strange queried the planning permission at Starth Lane and requested that comments regarding the condition for the turntable to be forwarded to DDDC.

The Notes/Minutes of Sub Committees in the period October 2020 to May 2021 were received.

**26/2022 EXCLUSIONS**  
There were no exclusions

**27/2022 COMMUNITY HALL**  
**27.2022.1 New Roof**

A report was received from Cllr Taylor as to how the project should be managed and the work awarded.

**28/2022 PLANNING MATTERS**

**28.2022.1 Planning Applications for Consideration:**

**21/00740/FUL** Extension to dwelling to provide porch/utility room at Land to The East of Alders Lane Land to North of Thatchers Lane Tansley – a few years ago there was an application for a bungalow adjacent to this site, which was refused, there is not much room with the hedgerow. Parish Council OBJECT to this application, 5 voted against, 1 abstention and 1 in favour.

**T/21/00087/TPO** Works to trees subject to TPO 150 – Crown clean and deadwood 1 no Pine tree (T1) and raise up by 5.2m to roadside and 3.5m garden side and crown clean and deadwood 1 no Pine tree (T2) to roadside and 3.2 to garden side at Fern Hill Church Street Tansley – no objections

**T/21/00086/TPO** Works to trees subject to TPO 150 – Crown clean and deadwood 1 no Pine tree (T1), reduce lower branches by 1.5 to 2m and raise up by 5.2m to roadside and 3.5m to garden side, crown clean and deadwood 1 no Pine tree (T3) and raise up by 5.2m to roadside and 3.5m garden side and Fell 1 no Pine tree (T3) at Hylidae Old Coach Road Tansley – no objections

**17/00850/DCOND** Discharge of condition(s) 3,6,10,12,14,29,30,31,34,36,37,38,39 and 40 of application 17/00850/FUL Erection of 26 dwellings and widening of access to serve development and retained property on site at Land Off Whitelea Lane Tansley –

### **Response as follows:**

It is noted that Woodall Homes have bought the building site from William Davis, it would appear that Woodall Homes are using the same plans as were passed for Davis Homes, as per the application number and list of conditions they want discharging. DDDC web site and the fact DDDC have accepted the list of conditions put forward, the fact they are on your web site indicates DDDC also believe Woodall Homes are adhering to the planning application passed by the LA.

And yet we are informed by Woodall Homes that they have submitted a different planning application, which is waiting for validation by DDDC, one might ask what precisely is going on?

Why has the developer been allowed to commence, if DDDC have accepted another planning application for the site? And why is this new application not on line if it has been accepted?

The Parish Council will address the conditions put forward by the developer, (conditions part of the original Davis Homes planning application) AND ACCEPTED by Derbyshire Dales planning.

### **We object to discharge of the following conditions,**

**3** ... No guarantees related to the materials that will be used on this development, we note other developments being built locally, and as requested on numerous occasions we would like homes built in stone.

**10...** **minimise air borne dust**, there have been numerous huge bonfires on site, DDDC environmental health were notified and the bonfires continued. There is no EA exemption certificate recorded on line.

**12.. protection of hedgerows and shrubs**, conifer trees have been cut down, the Davis homes plans preserved the ancient Derbyshire hedge, the above conditions relate to this hedge, there is no protection in place. Hedges have been removed during the nesting season. The new plan which is not on line yet shows the removal of the Derbyshire hedge! Tansley Parish Council believe the hedge is on our land, should the hedge be removed the Parish council intend to erect a permanent 6 ft fence along our boundary to ensure security for our Fete Field.

**14...** no written proof is on line ensuring that ALL service lines and pipes groundwater and surface water are going to be disposed of without impacting on others

**14B...** Copy of a remediation scheme is needed.

**31..** Written proof that a Section 38 has been entered into with DCC Highways, we believe the roadway is to be adopted. If this is not the case legal documentation informing who is responsible for maintenance of the roadways. Copy of section 278 access onto a highway. The Parish Council want new residents to have certainty related to maintenance of the highway, we do not want another debacle like Thatchers Croft.

**36..** Section 6 habitats Phase has not been adhered to, there has been no due regard for wildlife particularly nesting birds.

**38...** Before more work takes place, a plan is required for surface water drainage.

**39....** It is vital that the Parish Council have sight of a written assessment of the existing sough, we need concrete evidence that this sough is able to cope with the increased capacity of discharge from the site.

**40 ..** No plan is evident showing how additional surface water will be avoided

## **28.2022.2 Planning Decisions:**

**21/00537** Change of use of agricultural building to 1 no larger dwelling house (Use Class C3) and associated building operations at Home Farm Whitelea Lane Tansley (Cllr Neville abstained from voting) – Prior approval not required.

## **28.2022.3 DDDC Call for Housing Sites**

The District Council are asking for sites to be put forward for development for Residential and Travellers Sites. Possible sites were discussed and the following would be put forward to DDDC; Wood Yard at Homesford, Watery Lane at Ashbourne and High Tor Colour Works at Matlock Bath.

## **29/2022 TRAVELLERS SITE AT KNABB HALL LANE**

The Solicitors are currently preparing a further letter to go to DDDC. Cllr Hughes said that the District Council have done very little work regarding surveys of the site, although badgers are not present at the site.

### **30/2022 HEATHY LEA/THE PINFOLD**

Solicitors have been instructed to progress with Heathy Lea. There is no progress on The Pinfold.

### **31/2022 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES**

**31.2022.1 Proposed bridleway Cunnery Wood** – there is an application for a bridleway through the wood. A discussion was held regarding this, there is a bridleway very close to the proposed route, there is a footpath that goes through part of the wood.

**RESOLVED:** That the parish council write to DCC objecting to the proposal.

**31.2022.2 Lumsdale Conservation Area** – footpath. Cllr Hughes informed the meeting that there had been problems during lockdown with the number of visitors and also that these visitors were not respectful of residents. The Arkwright Society felt it necessary to close the site and only use it with supervision.

**RESOLVED:** That the parish council encourage the Arkwright Society to open the footpath up once more.

#### **31.2022.3 Bedding Plants –village planters**

**RESOLVED:** To spend in the region of £85 on plants to upgrade the planters.

### **32/2022 HIGHWAY ISSUES**

#### **32.2022.1 Road Crossing on A615 – request by resident**

This was discussed once again, and the parish council would start lobbying again for a crossing, Wessington has now got one, the parish council would ask what the criteria was for the crossing to be installed in Wessington.

**RESOLVED:** To write to DCC regarding the criteria fulfilled by Wessington.

#### **32.2022.2 Ashley Close/Church Street – request for drop kerb**

**RESOLVED:** To write to DCC requesting drop kerbs at this junction.

### **33/2022 FETE FIELD/HEATHY LEA**

#### **33.2022.1 Storage of Mower**

The store is now complete, the slabs have been laid.

Cllr Toplis suggested opening up the stile up the left side of the Fete Field, this would show the lines for the Right of Way.

### **34/2022 THE ROPEWALK**

Work on the Ropewalk should commence towards the end of June.

The Parish Council need to contact DCC Rights of Way because the concrete footpath is quite eroded underneath.

### **35/2022 DALC Circulars and correspondence**

### **36/2022 FINANCE**

#### **36/2022.1 Accounts Paid**

Date	Cheque No/Card	Particulars	Amount
25.5.2021	00052	B Pearson (bus shelter clean 13X£15)	195.00
25.5.2021	00053	Holy Trinity Church (xmas lighting & lamp fund)	150.00
25.5.2021	00054	S Leighton (Clerks sal apr & may 2 x 170.40, post 12.15)	352.95
11.6.2021	00055	SOS Services (grass cutting/tree work)	648.00

#### **36.2022.2 Income Received Nil**

**36.2022.3 Bank Reconciliation & Accounts** were received  
**36.2022.4 Internal Audit & Annual Governance & Accountability  
Return 2020/2021 Sections 1 & 2 were approved.**  
**36.2022.4 GDPR** nothing to report

Meeting closed at 21.10 .....

**CONFIDENTIAL SESSION**

UNAPPROVED